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(By Northcott's theorem)
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Examples: $\mathbb{Q}^{a b}, K^{a b}, \mathbb{Q}\left(E_{\text {tor }}\right)$
2) Fields with local conditions

- $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {tr }}=$ totally real numbers (Schinzel 1973)
- $L / \mathbb{Q}$ Galois with Bounded local degrees at some prime $p$ i.e. that can be embedded into a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$
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Examples:
$\rightarrow L$ number field
$\rightarrow L=\mathbb{Q}^{\text {tp }}$ totally $p$-adic numbers
$\rightarrow L=K^{(d)}$ compositum of all degree $\leq d$ extensions of a n.f. $K$ E.G. $\mathbb{Q}^{(2)}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \ldots)$

Idea: use 'equidistribution'.
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Many examples of fields with (B):

1) Fields OBtained 'adding torsion'

Examples: $\mathbb{Q}^{a b}, K^{a b}, \mathbb{Q}\left(E_{\text {tor }}\right)$
2) Fields with local conditions

Examples: $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {tr }}$, Galois extensions with Bounded local deGrees
3) Generalization of (1) and (2)
(Amoroso, David and Zannier, 2014)
Rem. All the above examples do not satisfy property ( $N$ ):

- $K^{a b}, \mathbb{Q}\left(E_{\text {tor }}\right)$ contain infinitely many roots of I
- $\mathbb{Q}^{t r}$ contains a sequence of elements with height
$\rightarrow 0.27328 \ldots$ (Smyth, 198O)
- $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {tp }: ~} \lim \inf _{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{\text {tp }}} h(\alpha) \leq(\log p) /(p-1)$ (BomBieri, Zannier, 2001)
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$$
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then $L=\bigcup_{i} K_{i}$ has property ( $N$ ).

- Roughly: if discriminants grow fast at each step in the tower $\Rightarrow L$ has (N).
Idea: use bound of Silverman for minimal height of Generators of number fields in terms of certain discriminants
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Essentially only 2 examples of fields with ( $N$ ):
I) Bombieri $\approx$ Zannier's criterion: $K_{a b}^{(d)}$
2) Widmer's criterion (discriminants Growing 'fast')

Question: Other examples of fields with property (N)?
§2 Results

## §2.1. A 'new' criterion for (N)
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Q. $\exists$ infinite extensions $L / \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\beta(L)=\infty$ ?
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Given any infinite product $G=\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} G_{i}$ of finite solvable Groups $G_{i}, \exists L / \mathbb{Q}$ Galois such that $\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})=G$ and $\beta(L)=\infty$.
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Good control Growth of $\operatorname{disc}\left(F_{i} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$
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- Recall:

Thy. (Shafarevich) Every finite solvable Group occurs as the Galois Group of an extension of $\mathbb{Q}$.

- We prove a sharper version of Shafarevich's theorem:
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Theorem (C.-Fehm, 2020). $\exists$ infinite Galois extensions $L / \mathbb{Q}$ without property ( $N$ ), But having Bounded local degrees at all primes.
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Theorem (C.-Fehm, 2O21). Effective version of Fili's theorem (explicit bounds on height and degree of elements in infinite sequence in the liminf)
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PB.3) Property (B) and Generators of Galois extensions - (Amoroso, Masser, 2016) A strong Lehmer Bound: for any $\epsilon>0, \exists c(\epsilon)>0$ such that $h(\alpha)[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha): \mathbb{Q}]^{\epsilon} \geq c(\epsilon)$ for $\alpha$ not root of unity such that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) / \mathbb{Q}$ is Galois.

The bound is so Good that one might ask:
Q. Does the set $\{\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) / \mathbb{Q}$ is Galois $\}$ enjoy property (B)?

- (Amoroso, 2017) If $\alpha$ Belongs to a certain class of Generators of $S_{n}$-extensions, $h(\alpha) \geq c(n)$ with $c(n) \rightarrow \infty$ with $n$. Q. True for all Generators of $S_{n}$-extensions?

Some open problems on property (B)

PB.3) Property (B) and Generators of Galois extensions - (Amoroso, Masser, 2016) A strong Lehmer Bound: for any $\epsilon>0, \exists c(\epsilon)>0$ such that $h(\alpha)[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha): \mathbb{Q}]^{\epsilon} \geq c(\epsilon)$ for $\alpha$ not root of unity such that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) / \mathbb{Q}$ is Galois.

The bound is so Good that one might ask:
Q. Does the set $\{\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) / \mathbb{Q}$ is Galois $\}$ enjoy property (B)?

- (Amoroso, 2017) If $\alpha$ Belongs to a certain class of Generators of $S_{n}$-extensions, $h(\alpha) \geq c(n)$ with $c(n) \rightarrow \infty$ with n.
Q. True for all Generators of $S_{n}$-extensions?

What about other (non-abelian) Groups?

## Thank you!

